

REMARKS

The notice of non-compliant amendment indicates that a complete listing of all of the claims is not present in the prior filed response; and each claim in the prior file response does not have a proper status identifier.

In response, the applicant's representative Bruce Margulies contacted Examiner Caputo by phone March 30, 2007 to discuss the notice of non-compliant amendment to understand why it was granted. This is because the notice was in response to a response to a restriction requirement, and it did not amend any claim. Therefore, the applicant did not understand why the notice had been issued. Examiner Caputo indicated that the claims needed to be represented because status of certain claims, the withdrawn claims, had changed.

In response, the applicant submits herewith an updated claim listing which contains a complete listing of all of the claims and a proper status identifier for each claim.

	Respectfully submitted,
<u>4/3/2007</u>	<u>/RichardNeifeld#35,299/</u>
Date	Richard Neifeld
	Registration No 35,299
	Attorney of Record

BTM/ran

Y:\Clients\Hennings\HENN0012\HENN0012UPCT-US\Drafts\ResponseToNonCompliantAmendment_HENN0012UPCT-US_3-29-2007.wpd

Printed: April 3, 2007 (6:00pm)